

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/619,020	07/14/2003	Joseph S. Pleva	RTN-147CUS	6396	
22494 7	22494 7590 10/07/2005		EXAMINER		
DALY, CROWLEY, MOFFORD & DURKEE, LLP SUITE 301A 354A TURNPIKE STREET CANTON, MA 02021-2714			WIMER, M	WIMER, MICHAEL C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2828		
		DATE MAILED: 10/07/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

DU

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office A 44' O	10/619,020	PLEVA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael C. Wimer	2828				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7/13/3	2005.					
	action is non-final.					
'	_					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
		- -				
Attachment(s)						
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
Plottice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					

Application/Control Number: 10/619,020

Art Unit: 2828

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fassett (4509055).

Regarding Claims 1-3 and 20, Fassett shows in Figures 2,3B and 4, for example, a method of forming a plurality of two-way radiation beams using a transmit and receive system and such a system 13, comprising controlling a transmit antenna array to provide a plurality of beams, controlling a switched beam combining circuit of a receive array to sense a plurality of receive beams via the circuit in Fig. 4, and combining predetermined ones of a plurality of transmit beams and receive beams to form the two-way beams via the circuit in Fig. 2, all arranged as claimed. The arrays of elements are shown in Fig. 3B, where Fig. 2 shows each TX and RX system for an individual pair of antenna elements in the array. As to Claims 2 and 3, the beam switching system comprises the switches 31 for both polarizations.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/619,020 Page 3

Art Unit: 2828

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 4-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fassett (4509055).

Regarding Claims 4-19, Fassett teaches the use of combining many beams, transmit and receive beams employing the circuitry in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3B. A skilled artisan would have found it obvious to employ any number, as claimed herein, of transmit and receive beams, selecting the respective beams formed in transmit and receive combinations, because the beams are independently controllable by the circuitry disclosed therein. Figure 4 of Fassett shows how the signals are combined in order to combine the respective beams as desired, in order to provide the radiation patterns in a particular system. In this case, sum and difference patterns are produced.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 7/13/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Specifically, the anticipation rejection does set forth all of the elements claimed. The combining step is performed via the circuit in Fig. 2 and the step of "controlling a switched beam combining circuit..." is performed by the circuit in Fig. 4. As broadly as claimed here, the steps are carried out in the system of Fassett. Further, two-way beams are formed because there is bi-directional radiation in the Fig. 2 arrangement of Fassett. Since all claimed structure and method steps have been

Art Unit: 2828

shown in the prior art, it is not seen how the present claims define over the art of record.

The rejections stand.

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael C. Wimer whose telephone number is (571) 272-1833. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Minsun O. Harvey can be reached on (571) 272-1835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael C. Wimer Primary Examiner Art Unit 2828

MCW 9/28/2005